

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Advisory Action dated January 23, 2007, the Examiner reiterated that the claims stand rejected because the claimed limitation “location parameter” reads on the discussion in the Martinez reference (U.S. 2002/0142792 A1) having to do with the detection of movement from a public network to a private network.

In order to further distinguish the claims from this Martinez reference, Applicant has now introduced a further limitation in each of the independent claims (claims 1, 10 and 20) that the mobile device is capable of comparing both a time parameter and a location parameter with a current time and a current location, the current location determined by at least one of a cellular base station and a Global Positioning System (GPS). It is respectfully submitted that this added limitation now clearly distinguishes the claims over the Martinez reference. Applicant has also amended dependent claim 4 to concord with the amendment made to claim 1. Applicant has also cancelled dependent claim 13 in view of the amendment to claim 10.

In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectfully requested that the claim rejections be withdrawn and that a Notice of Allowance be issued.

Respectfully submitted,
Denny CHIU et al.

By Matthew M. Roy
Matthew M. Roy
Registration No. 48,074
Attorney for Applicant

MMR/ma

Address: Ogilvy Renault LLP
1981 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1600
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2Y3
Tel. (613) 780-8659